

A materials science perspective on bone growth and regeneration

Peter Fratzl,

Max Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, Department of Biomaterials, Potsdam, Germany

Our skeleton needs to carry the body weight and to resist mechanical impacts. This capability or, conversely, bone fragility are controlled by the amount of bone mass, the shape and internal architecture of the bones, as well as by the material of which they are built(1-3). Bone material consists of a complex multi-scale arrangement of mineralized collagen fibrils containing mineral, water, proteoglycans as well as some non-collagenous proteins. This organization is by no means constant during our life time. It changes with growth and bone maturation, but even adult bone is constantly remodeled and, thus, able to repair damaged tissue and to adapt to the loading situation. In preventing fractures, the most important mechanical property is toughness, which is controlled primarily by the intricate multi-scalar fiber arrangement of the tissue that develops during bone formation and maturation. However, how this complex structure is controlled and adapted through the action of bone forming cells remains a mystery. The talk will address recent in-vitro (4-6) and ex-vivo investigations (7-11) as well as numerical modeling (12) of bone tissue formation and regeneration that shed some light on this issue.

1. F. D. Fischer, O. Kolednik, J. Predan, H. Razi, P. Fratzl, Crack driving force in twisted plywood structures. *Acta Biomaterialia* **55**, 349-359 (2017).
2. P. Fratzl, O. Kolednik, F. D. Fischer, M. N. Dean, The mechanics of tessellations - bioinspired strategies for fracture resistance. *Chemical Society Reviews* **45**, 252-267 (2016).
3. W. Wagermaier, K. Klaushofer, P. Fratzl, Fragility of Bone Material Controlled by Internal Interfaces. *Calcified Tissue International* **97**, 201-212 (2015).
4. C. M. Bidan *et al.*, Gradual conversion of cellular stress patterns into pre-stressed matrix architecture during in vitro tissue growth. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* **13**, (2016).
5. M. Herklotz *et al.*, Availability of extracellular matrix biopolymers and differentiation state of human mesenchymal stem cells determine tissue-like growth in vitro. *Biomaterials* **60**, 121-129 (2015).
6. Y. Zhukova *et al.*, The Role of Titanium Surface Nanostructuring on Preosteoblast Morphology, Adhesion, and Migration. *Advanced Healthcare Materials* **6**, (2017).
7. E. L. Bortel *et al.*, Long bone maturation is driven by pore closing: A quantitative tomography investigation of structural formation in young C57BL/6 mice. *Acta Biomaterialia* **22**, 92-102 (2015).
8. A. Cipitria *et al.*, BMP delivery complements the guiding effect of scaffold architecture without altering bone microstructure in critical-sized long bone defects: A multiscale analysis. *Acta Biomaterialia* **23**, 282-294 (2015).
9. R. M. Hoerth *et al.*, Correlations between nanostructure and micromechanical properties of healing bone. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials* **77**, 258-266 (2018).
10. M. Zenzes *et al.*, Normal trabecular vertebral bone is formed via rapid transformation of mineralized spicules: A high-resolution 3D ex-vivo murine study. *Acta Biomaterialia* **86**, 429-440 (2019).
11. M. Paris *et al.*, Scaffold curvature-mediated novel biomineralization process originates a continuous soft tissue-to-bone interface. *Acta Biomaterialia* **60**, 64-80 (2017).
12. F. D. Fischer, G. A. Zickler, J. W. C. Dunlop, P. Fratzl, Tissue growth controlled by geometric boundary conditions: a simple model recapitulating aspects of callus formation and bone healing. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* **12**, (2015).